Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)		
	10/789,436		PATTERSON ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	James W. Rog	gers, Ph.D.	1618		
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3)	(3)			
(2) <u>Vandana Bansal, Ph.D.</u>	(4)	(4)			
Date of Interview: 11 September 2007	Time: <u>3:00</u>	Time: 3:00 PM			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: NA					
Claims discussed: NA		·			
Prior art documents discussed:			·		
Part II.	· 				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The examiner contacted Dr. Bansal with regards to missifaxed a copy of the page to examiner Dr. Rogers.				7. Dr. Bansal	
Part III.		•			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will arate record of the se	provide a writt	ten summary of the interview, since	he substance	
	·				
$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{A}$					
(Examiner/SPÉ Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Re	presentative S	ignature – if appr	opriate)	